**REVISION ACTION PLAN!**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Ideas to incorporate from your peers’ papers into your own? | * Consider adding images(mainly interested in the recent hoax one) * Come up with an actual title |
| Omissions found via highlighting exercise (e.g. more evidence in 3rd paragraph, more analysis on 2nd page, etc)? | * Useless sentence found in the second sentence of the third paragraph * Clear Source missing for first evidence chunk in third paragraph * Clear Source missing for last evidence chunk in fourth paragraph (provide possible image?) |
| Changes found via reverse outline (things to be rearranged/paragraphs to be split or combined)? | * I like my structure, and no one really called me out on it, so there will probably be no re-arrangement. Splitting up of paragraphs and expansion of topics will be my main focus * Take last part of third paragraph (people holding on to beliefs) and make new paragraph out of it * Combine that paragraph with further expansion of anti-Asian-American sentiment and further evidence supporting this |
| Changes to make based on peers’ notes on paper? | * Split big sentences further since they carry too much meaning too fast * Add a few comas here and there * Change some wording to make it sound more natural yet formal(problem now 🡪 current issue) * Expand and give more details regarding the “document circulating social media” |
| Suggestions made in response to directed feedback? | * Assuming that this is referring to suggestions posed by our peer reviewer’s, I got this: “my only suggestions are that you add a little more analysis to certain areas, and split up some of the sentences and paragraphs I pointed out to make the paper flow a little better.” This pretty much just coincides with things told elsewhere int this paper. |
| Changes to make to better match assignment guidelines? | * Acquire more scholarly sources * Expand essay so that word count is closer to 3000 * Indent paragraphs * Find some actual sources regarding the present corona virus rather than just going by what’s common knowledge right now. |
| Changes to make in response to instructor feedback? | * Expand ideas that were only briefly mentioned (like people still holding unto discriminatory beliefs). * Again, change some wording to make the tone of the paper more formal (omit “You see,” among others) * Be more descriptive and specific (specific dates rather than “back then”) * Change that one sentence where I used a double negative * Cite that one *Philadelphia* quote through parenthetical citations. * Stick to addressing the present audience * Again. Split up long meaningful single sentences into two * When referring to decades include the “19…” |